IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY

ORDINARY ORIGINAL CIVIL JURISDICTION

NOTICE OF MOTION NO. 2110 OF 2003

IN

WEALTH TAX APPEAL (LODGING) NO. 690 OF 2003

The Commissioner of Wealth Tax .... Appellant
Mumbai

Vs.

M/s Hindalco Inds. Ltd., Worli .... Respondent

Mr. A.D. Kango for Appellant.
Mr. Pankaj R. Toprani for Respondent.

CORAM : F.I. REBELLO, &
J.P. DEVADHAR, JJ.
DATE : 12TH SEPTEMBER, 2007

P.C.

. The delay is less than 200 days. Considering the practical difficulties which the Department was facing including referring the matters to the Law Department, Government of India for drafting the Appeal Memo and considering the affidavit in support of the Notice of Motion, in our opinion, the cause shown would amount to sufficient cause. Hence, delay condoned. The Notice of Motion is allowed in terms of prayer clause (a).

2. Office to register the Appeal.

(J.P. DEVADHAR, J.) **(F.I. REBELLO, J.)**